

The Feel Factor®

Last Updated: 12 October 2026, 13:58

Prepared for Tailwind

Project Tailwind App

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved.
Private and Confidential.

Contents

Introduction 3
What It Currently Feels Like 3
The Three Layers 5
Layer 1: First Impression 5
Layer 2: The Journey 7
Layer 3: Identity Transformation 10
The Emotional Arc 13
What This Means In Practice 14

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

Introduction

The Feel Factor framework is a way of reading a digital product the way a user actually experiences it, not as a set of features or flows, but as a sequence of feelings. For most products, that sequence is incidental. For Tailwind, it is the whole point. A client who opens the app and feels slightly alone, or slightly managed, has already been failed, however accurate the information on the screen. What we are designing is not an interface. It is the felt sense of being looked after, and that requires different questions than a conventional product brief asks.

This document is the output of those questions. Over the course of our workshops, the Tailwind team and We Are Affective worked through a structured set of exercises designed to surface what is true about the brand, what users actually feel today, and what the gap between those two things costs. What emerged was not an interpretation from the outside. It was what the team already knew, brought into a form precise enough to design from. The Dinner Party, The Rejection Letter, The Funeral Speech, The First 60 Seconds, and the other sessions we ran together were not branding exercises. They were a way of asking, rigorously, what it means for a client to feel accompanied rather than managed, and what needs to be true for that feeling to arrive.

The framework maps those answers across three layers of experience. The first is the initial sixty seconds, what a user feels before they have read a single line of copy. The second is the journey through the product, how trust either builds or quietly erodes as the experience unfolds. The third is the identity shift, how a client thinks about themselves after using it regularly, the difference between someone who holds every journey together and someone who has learned they are allowed to stop. Each layer carries its own emotional logic, and each demands its own design decisions. The sections that follow address all three, grounded throughout in what the workshops told us, and in what a woman standing in a hotel corridor in Zurich taught us about the cost of invisible work.

What It Currently Feels Like

What Tailwind does well is not in question. Eleven years of referrals, a client list built entirely on word of mouth, and relationships that have survived bereavements, divorces and midnight diversions, these are not small things. The people are exceptional. The care is real. The problem is that none of that care has yet found a form the client can hold in their hand. The emotional architecture of a digital product, the sequence of feelings that either builds trust or quietly undermines it, has not been built. What exists instead is a patchwork of formats: a WhatsApp thread, a forty-page PDF, a portal most clients have abandoned. Each holds part of the picture. None holds all of it. And the client, the person who was meant to be able to stop, ends up as the connecting thread between them.

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

Layer	Current Experience	Emotional Quality
First Impression	No single place to open. Clients move between a thread, a PDF and a disused portal, assembling the answer to a question someone else should have already answered.	Mild effort; quiet vigilance; the posture of someone who has learned to check
The Journey	Charlotte handles problems beautifully, usually before the client knows there was one. But the client cannot see it happening. Disruption is managed in private. Handovers are unannounced. Two sources of truth disagree without flagging it.	Low-level alertness; the sense of being the last line of defence, even when they aren't
Identity Transformation	Clients leave feeling competent. They assembled the information, they kept track, they managed the journey. That is the wrong satisfaction. It is the pride of someone who did work they paid to be excused from.	Capable and faintly tired; quietly carrying something they were meant to have put down

What clients currently experience is a kind of tired competence. The First 60 Seconds exercise surfaced this precisely: the internal dialogue of a Tailwind client opening the app is short, practical and slightly impatient. "Does Charlotte know? Which of these three sources is right? Do I need to call someone, or has it been dealt with?" These are not the questions of someone who feels accompanied. They are the questions of someone who is still on duty. The Aspiration Gap sessions returned to the same place from a different angle. Clients described arriving at the app braced for effort, the old reflex of scanning already running, a habit so ingrained they no longer notice the cost. Underneath it sits something that surprised us in the room: a quiet reluctance to ask. Clients have been protecting Charlotte's time at the expense of their own ease, hunting through a PDF rather than sending a one-line message, because asking feels like an imposition. That is the measure of the gap. The relationship is trusted. The product has not yet earned the same trust, so clients compensate for it, privately, on every journey.

The Heartbreak Scale put a number to this: a trust score of four for the artefacts, held up by a people score of seven. The gap between those two figures is not a design problem, or not only that. It is an emotional one. Clients who score a person at seven and a product at four have learned to rely on the person and work around the product, which means the product is adding effort rather than removing it. The Zurich corridor, the story that surfaced in The Funeral Speech and returned in almost every session we ran, is the clearest expression of what the current state costs. Everything had been handled. Charlotte had solved it. And a client stood in a corridor at eleven at night, in her coat, scrolling between three sources, unable to see that it was done. That is not a technology failure. It is an emotional one: the felt absence of proof that someone had it. The current state is the starting point, not a verdict on the people, or the care, or the eleven years of trust they have built. But it is honest about what has not yet been designed.

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

The Three Layers

The three layers are not a framework imposed on Tailwind from the outside. They are the natural shape of how trust forms between a person and a product. Something has to happen in the first sixty seconds that earns the right to ask for attention. The journey that follows has to justify what was promised in that opening. And over time, across multiple trips, something has to shift in how the client thinks about themselves. Each layer is distinct, and none of them is optional. A product that gets the first impression right but loses the thread in the middle has not solved the problem. One that delivers a smooth journey but leaves the client feeling no different than before has done logistics, not design.

For Tailwind, each layer carries a specific weight. The first impression has to answer the question every client arrives with before they have scrolled an inch: does someone have this, and do I need to do anything? That question is not rhetorical. It is the one the Zurich corridor showed us goes unanswered at precisely the moment it matters most. The journey layer is where Tailwind's deepest strength, the quality of its people and the invisible precision of its work, either becomes visible or stays hidden. What the workshops made clear is that invisible does not mean trusted. A rescue the client cannot see is a rescue they cannot credit. And the identity layer is where the whole enterprise either justifies itself or falls short. If a client finishes a year of trips feeling competent and slightly tired, the product has given them work to do. If they finish feeling accompanied, they have received what they were promised.

The three layers compound. A first impression that lands well creates the conditions for trust to build in the journey. A journey that delivers on its opening creates the conditions for an identity shift. But the relationship also runs in the other direction. A client who has experienced enough good journeys arrives at the first screen of a new trip already inclined to believe it. A client who has been let down arrives braced. This means the design decisions in each layer cannot be made independently. What is promised in the first sixty seconds has to be kept across the journey. What is kept across the journey has to accumulate into something a client can feel about themselves. The sections that follow treat each layer in full, but they are not separate problems. They are three moments in a single, continuous experience of being looked after.

Layer 1: First Impression

The first sixty seconds with Tailwind today are not a single experience. They are three separate ones, running in parallel, none of which feels designed for the person living through them.

A client on the morning of a flight opens a WhatsApp thread, scrolls back to find the car details, cross-references the PDF for the gate, and checks the portal out of habit before remembering they stopped trusting it months ago. The question they arrived with, does someone have this, and do I need to do anything, goes unanswered for longer than it should. By the time they have the answer, they have already done the work we exist to remove.

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

The feeling this produces is not distress. It is something quieter and more telling: a kind of mild, practised effort. The posture of someone who has learned to check, and has stopped noticing that they are doing it.

Desired feeling

Accompanied. The feeling of walking into a room where someone has already looked after the arrangements, and where the first thing that reaches you is evidence of that, not a request to find it yourself.

More specifically, the emotional shift we are designing for is this: a client opens the product and within two seconds understands that the question they arrived with has already been answered. They did not have to search for that answer. It was waiting for them. The reflex to scan does not fire, because there is nothing left to scan for. That is not a feature. It is a feeling, and it has to be the first one.

What the workshops told us

The First 60 Seconds exercise gave us the clearest picture of the current state. When we asked the team to describe the internal dialogue of a Tailwind client opening the app, the answers came fast and they were consistent.

"Does Charlotte know?" "Which of these three sources is right?" "Is this the latest, or is it yesterday's?" "Do I need to call someone, or has it been dealt with?"

These are not the questions of someone who feels looked after. They are the questions of someone still on duty. What struck us in the room was how matter-of-fact the answers were. Nobody described alarm. The mood was closer to mild impatience, the slight tiredness of someone who has always had to do this and has accepted it.

The Aspiration Gap sessions returned to the same territory. Clients arrive at the app braced, the old reflex of scanning already running before they have read a word. One of the most revealing details from that session was the description of clients protecting Charlotte's time at the cost of their own ease, choosing to hunt through a PDF rather than send a one-line question, because asking feels like an imposition. The product has trained its own users to work harder than they should, and they have accommodated it without complaint.

The Heartbreak Scale put a number to the gap. Trust in the people who run Tailwind scored seven. Trust in the artefacts scored four. A client who opens three formats on a travel morning and trusts none of them fully has learned to compensate for the product, not to rely on it.

The Funeral Speech gave us the moment that anchors everything. A client standing in a corridor in Zurich at eleven at night, scrolling between a thread, a PDF and a portal, unable to see that Charlotte had already solved the problem. Her words, reported back a week later, were: "Charlotte had it completely under control. I just couldn't see that she did." The first sixty seconds of every future journey are an answer to that sentence.

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

Design decisions required

- The app opens on the travel partner, by name and photograph, with a single plain line stating where the journey stands. That line must answer, without any navigation, whether anything needs the client. "Everything is on track. Michael is meeting you at Terminal 5 at 6.10. Checked at 5.52. Nothing needs you." is a complete, valid first screen. Nothing else is required to appear above it.
- Sign-in is invisible. The app recognises the client's device and uses biometrics, with no membership number, password or welcome screen standing between the client and the first line of information. A forgotten credential is the smallest possible way to make a client feel they are on their own, and it must not be possible on a travel morning.
- Every fact on the first screen carries its own status and timestamp. "Confirmed by Michael at 5.40" reads differently from "Expected, Charlotte is confirming now." The distinction is not a design detail. It is what allows a client to believe the screen rather than checking it against a thread. A line without a time beside it is a claim. A line with one is evidence.
- Anything that has changed since the client last opened the app is shown as what happened and what was done, written in the past tense, with a named person beside it. "Your 7.40 was delayed. Charlotte has moved you to the 8.15, same seat, car rebooked." The disruption is visible. The effort is not. Nothing is framed as a problem handed to the client to solve.
- The first screen contains no navigation, no menu, no dashboard, and no summary of the full itinerary. The full itinerary exists, but it sits one step behind the opening view, available for the moments a client wants the detail and absent for the moments they don't. Presenting everything at once asks the client to assemble the picture themselves, which is the work the product is supposed to be doing.
- A direct line to the travel partner, by message or voice, is reachable from the first screen without any additional navigation. The app is an extension of Charlotte, and she must never be more than one tap away from the moment a client arrives.

Layer 2: The Journey

The journey layer is where Tailwind's deepest strength and its most significant gap live side by side. Charlotte is exceptional. The work she does between a client opening the app and arriving at a destination is, by any measure, extraordinary. Connections are rebooked before they are announced. Cars are moved to match late landings. Hotels are told without being asked. The problem is not the quality of that work. It is that the client cannot see any of it happening, and a journey experienced in the dark is not the same as a journey experienced in the light.

What the current journey actually feels like, across an extended interaction, is a series of gaps. It begins with reasonable confidence, the client trusts Charlotte, the call will be answered, the problem will be solved. But as the journey unfolds, that confidence has to be renewed by effort rather than by evidence. The PDF was accurate yesterday. Today it may not be. The thread says one thing. The portal says another. The driver has not been told about the delay. A colleague picks up and asks

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

something Charlotte already knew. Each of these is a small moment where the client has to step in and close the gap by hand. Individually, none of them is a crisis. Together, they add up to a journey the client is quietly managing rather than simply taking.

The emotional quality of this is not distress. It is a low, persistent alertness. The kind that does not announce itself and accumulates over the course of a trip. By the time a client lands, they have not been broken by anything in particular. They have simply spent hours being slightly more on duty than they needed to be.

The flattest moment is disruption, which ought to be the strongest. When something goes wrong, Charlotte is usually already on it. But from the client's side, the first signal is silence. A notification that something has changed, or nothing at all, and then a gap while she works. That gap is where the old reflex returns most forcefully. They reach for the phone, check the flight status independently, run the same search Charlotte is already running. The rescue is delivered eventually, often perfectly, and the client is relieved. But they were on duty for the whole interval, and the product did nothing to help them be otherwise.

Desired feeling

What we want the journey to feel like is a long, quiet exhale. Not a single moment of reassurance but a sustained sense of being carried, one that deepens rather than fluctuates as the trip unfolds.

The emotional trajectory should move in a clear direction. Early in the journey, the client is still forming their trust in the product. Each fact that proves correct, each change that arrives already handled, each update that is honest about what it does and does not know, is a small deposit. By the midpoint of a trip, those deposits should have accumulated into something the client can feel: a genuine willingness to put the phone down. Not because they have decided to trust it, but because the evidence has made the decision for them. By the time they land, the feeling should not be satisfaction at having managed a complex journey. It should be something closer to having forgotten there was a journey to manage.

Disruption is the test. The emotional peak of a journey is almost always a delay, a missed connection, a changed plan. For most travel products, that is where trust breaks. For Tailwind, it should be where trust deepens. A disruption handled in plain sight, by a named person, with a time attached to the next update, is the most powerful demonstration of the brand's value. The client sees the problem, sees it being addressed, and reads the resolution without having to do anything. That sequence, which the current product almost never delivers, is what turns a good journey into a relationship.

What the workshops told us

The Heartbreak Scale gave us the architecture of the problem. Delight scored two, which the team had expected. What surprised us was where the delight actually lived: almost entirely with people, and almost nowhere in anything digital. The held detail, the window seat on the left for the Alps, the midnight voice note after a diversion, were the moments clients talked about. None of them came from opening an app. Every one came from Charlotte making a judgement call, quietly, and delivering

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

it in a voice or a message. The opportunity the session surfaced was not that we need to invent new moments of delight. It is that the moments already exist, and they do not yet survive the journey into the product.

The Aspiration Gap sessions identified the handover as the sharpest break in the experience. A client spends weeks shaping a trip with Charlotte in conversation, and then receives a forty-page PDF that could belong to any travel company in the world. The warmth stays in the thread. The detail goes into a document that goes stale the moment anything changes. From that point on, the client is the referee between two sources that do not agree. The session also surfaced something we had not expected: clients end journeys feeling capable and slightly proud, having successfully pieced the picture together, and that is the wrong satisfaction. They have been competent at something they paid to be excused from.

The First 60 Seconds exercise, which we used to probe beyond the opening moment into the broader journey, produced a telling description of how the emotional experience currently progresses. The team described it as a series of moments where the client has to close a gap by hand. The driver who does not know about the delay. The colleague who picks up and asks what Charlotte already knew. The update that arrives as a status code rather than a sentence. Each is a small breach of the promise that someone else is holding it, and the cumulative effect is a journey in which the client is never quite off duty.

The Funeral Speech gave us the clearest expression of what the journey should become, through the description of how clients changed their relationship with time after Tailwind got this right. A journey in March stopped being something to dread in February. A delay became a short paragraph with a name, a new time and an update promised by half past six. The forty minutes passed, and they no longer belonged to the problem. That is the emotional quality of a journey well designed: not the absence of difficulty, but the presence of someone who has it.

The Voice Sort gave us the language the journey should speak. "Charlotte is rebooking you now, next update by 6.30." "Done. Same seat, car moved to match." "The airline hasn't confirmed the gate. Charlotte will tell you the moment they do." What these phrases share is a grammar of handled work: past tense for anything finished, a named person for anything in progress, a time attached to every promise. The journey should speak in that register throughout, not only at the moment of disruption.

Design decisions required

- A single living itinerary replaces the PDF for the duration of every journey. Every change is made once and appears everywhere, stamped with a time and the name of whoever made it. "Updated 6.12, car moved to Terminal 5 by Charlotte." The client never has to choose between two sources, and the history of every change is visible and attributed. This closes the most persistent gap the sessions identified: the moment a PDF goes stale and the client becomes the referee.
- Disruption is shown while it is being handled, not only after it has been resolved. When a connection slips, the screen changes before the client has reached for a search bar. "Your 7.40 was delayed. Charlotte is rebooking you now. Next update by 6.30." Twenty minutes later it

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

updates in place: "Done. You're on the 8.15, same seat, car rebooked." The client sees the problem, the response, and the resolution, in sequence, without doing anything. The silence between a disruption and a fix is where the old vigilance returns most forcefully, and this is what fills it.

- Every fact in the journey carries its own confidence status, stated honestly. "Confirmed by Michael at 5.40" is shown differently from "Expected, Charlotte is confirming now" and differently again from "The airline hasn't confirmed the gate. Charlotte will tell you the moment they do." A client who can read what we know and what we do not yet know can believe the screen on the days we are certain, because they have seen us admit the days we are not. This is the product's answer to its biggest single risk: a calm screen that turns out to be wrong.
- Handovers are announced in advance, by name, with a line from the usual partner. When Charlotte is away, the client reads something like: "Charlotte is on leave until the 20th. James has everything, including the school dates. She's briefed him. He's a message away and usually replies within ten minutes." The client never explains themselves to a stranger. Continuity is shown to belong to Tailwind as a whole, and the absence of a single person becomes a non-event rather than a risk.
- Notifications are sent only when something changes what the client should do, or confirms that something has been handled. Everything else waits in the app. A phone that buzzes on every minor status update teaches the client to filter, which means they learn to ignore the alerts, which means the important ones lose their weight. The rarer the buzz, the more it means. Between updates, a brief, honest line carries the absence: "Nothing has changed since 5.52. Charlotte is watching the gate." That sentence does more work than ten alerts.
- After every trip, the travel partner sends a short note reflecting what they noticed and what has been done with it. "You mentioned the hotel was loud. I've asked for a higher floor next time. And you said the early return suited you, so I've kept that in mind." This is the moment the journey extends into the relationship. A client who reads their own words reflected back, with an action taken, understands that the trip did not end at the door. The product was listening throughout, and it kept what it heard.

Layer 3: Identity Transformation

Tailwind clients are, almost without exception, capable people. Founders, heads of families, executives who have spent decades being the person who knows where everything is. That identity has not softened over the years. It has deepened. By the time someone becomes a Tailwind client, being the last line of defence for their own life is not a behaviour they perform. It is who they are.

What this means in practice is that even with Charlotte, even with nine years of voice notes and rebooked connections and cars waiting at exactly the right terminal, the client finishes each journey having been, in some quiet way, competent. They pieced together the picture. They managed the trip. They held the thread. And there is a satisfaction in that, a real one, because they are good at it. But it is the satisfaction of someone who did work they paid to be excused from, and underneath it there is a tiredness that does not quite have a name.

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

The Aspiration Gap sessions surfaced something more specific and more uncomfortable. Many clients actively protect Charlotte's time at the cost of their own ease. They hunt through a forty-page PDF rather than send a one-line message, because asking feels like an imposition. A person paying for a service is choosing inconvenience over what they imagine is consideration. That is not a small thing. It tells us that the current product has quietly taught its own users to ration the relationship, to be careful, to stay slightly on the outside of the care they are receiving.

The result is an identity that is capable and a little alone. Not stressed, because these clients would never use that word, and not dissatisfied, because Charlotte is genuinely wonderful. But not accompanied either. Not off duty. Not, in any deep sense, released.

Desired feeling

The shift we are designing for is not from worry to relief. It is from being the person who holds everything to being the person who has chosen, confidently, to put it down.

That distinction matters. Relief is what someone feels when a threat passes. Release is what someone feels when they realise they were never required to carry the weight in the first place. Our clients have spent years in vigilance, and vigilance has become part of their self-image. The product has to do something quite specific: it has to make a different kind of competence available to them. Not the competence of someone who can hold a complex journey together single-handed, but the competence of someone who knows exactly what to hold and who to trust with the rest. That is a more grown-up position, and for most of our clients it is one they have never been offered.

The person they become through using this product regularly is someone who says, lightly, at dinner, "I have someone for that." Not as a boast. As a plain description of how their life is organised. Someone who sends a one-line message at half past midnight without a second thought, because asking has stopped being an imposition and become the ordinary way of using a relationship. Someone who delegates in a sentence, "if anything slips by under two hours, just move me", and can see what they handed over, which is a more real form of control than checking would ever be.

The identity shift is from the person who is proud of being on top of everything to the person who is proud of knowing when to let someone else be.

What the workshops told us

The Identity Shift exercise gave us the clearest language for what this transformation feels like from the inside. Before, clients described themselves as "watchful", "competent", and "quietly tired." After, the same people described arriving with "attention left over", for the meeting, the family, the view from the window. The background process, as one session participant put it, finally goes quiet. That phrase, background process, is precise in a way that matters. It is not a dramatic anxiety. It is a low, continuous cost that clients pay without noticing until it stops.

The Aspiration Gap sessions added the piece about asking. The desired next action we identified was not that clients open the app more frequently. It was that they message Charlotte more freely, about

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

smaller things. When that happens, the relationship has moved from a service they instruct to a partner they confide in. The identity shift lives in that movement. A client who sends "could the hotel hold my bags until two?" without deliberating is not the same person who once spent twenty minutes searching a PDF to avoid disturbing someone.

The Funeral Speech gave us the language for the person clients become over time. "I have someone for that." The speech described this sentence as the way Tailwind has grown for eleven years, said quietly at dinner, as a plain description of a life rather than a display of access. That is the identity the product needs to confirm and deepen. Not the identity of someone who belongs to something exclusive, but the identity of someone who has built their life intelligently, and whose relationship with Tailwind is one expression of that intelligence.

The Identity Shift exercise also gave us the sentence that opens and closes this section. Someone who arrives as the last line of defence for their own journey and leaves, over time, as someone who is simply arriving. That arc is not achieved in one trip. It is built through repetition, through problems handled in plain sight, through details remembered without announcement, through handovers nobody noticed and small requests that were welcomed in the same tone as large ones.

The Heartbreak Scale registered pride at three, which the team had expected, and which points to something important. Pride here is not about the product. It is about the relationship. Clients do not want to show the app to a friend. They want to say, "you should talk to Charlotte." The product's job is not to become shareable. It is to become the quiet infrastructure behind the sentence a client says at dinner, the thing that makes "I have someone for that" feel true and grounded and earned.

Design decisions required

- The app never asks the client to manage anything. No checklist, no "manage booking", no task waiting on their action unless a decision is genuinely and exclusively theirs. Anything that hands work back, however politely, reinforces the wrong identity, the one where they are still responsible for the journey. Every screen should end by telling the client where things stand and what comes next, so nobody is left to work out their own next step.
- Small requests receive exactly the same tone and care as large ones. "Could the car wait ten minutes?" should be answered with the same warmth as "reroute the whole family." This is not a resourcing question. It is an identity question. A client who discovers that small asks are welcome stops being someone who rations the relationship and becomes someone who uses it properly. The product must make this feel true, which means the reply window and the register of the response must not change with the size of the request.
- Handled problems are written in the past tense, with no action implied for the client. "Your connection moved. You're on the 8.15, same seat, car rebooked." Not "you have been rebooked", which places the client in the sentence, but "your connection moved", which keeps the situation with Tailwind. The grammar carries the whole feeling. Every time a sentence places the work with us rather than with the client, the identity shift moves a little further along.
- The product tells the client clearly, and regularly, when nothing needs them. "Nothing needs you. Checked at 5.52." That sentence, with a time beside it, is the most direct expression of what the

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

product is for. It gives permission to stop, and permission is what most of our clients have never been offered by a travel product. Over time, seeing that line repeatedly, and finding it to be true, is what turns the background process off.

- Standing instructions can be given in a sentence, are shown plainly on screen, and can be withdrawn in a line. "If anything slips by under two hours, just move me." A client who can leave an instruction like that and see it recorded is not giving up control. They are exercising it in a more sophisticated form. The product must reflect this back to them, showing what they handed over and confirming it is held, so the act of delegating feels like confidence rather than resignation.
- Each client can see, in plain words, everything Tailwind holds about them, with simple ways to change, correct or remove it. Being known is only a gift when the client owns the terms of it. For people who have spent careers being careful about what others know, visibility and control over that knowledge is the thing that makes being known feel safe rather than exposed. A client who has seen and approved their own record is not a client who is on file. They are a client who has chosen to be understood, and that is a very different identity.

The Emotional Arc

The arc begins in vigilance. A client opens the product in the back of a car, on a jet bridge, one-handed in a terminal. They arrive with a question already formed and the old reflex running. They have been the person who checks, who cross-references, who makes sure. That is not a habit they chose. It is who they became, over years of being the last line of defence for their own life. The product meets them exactly there, in that posture, and the first thing it has to do is answer the question they have not yet asked. Not reassure them. Answer them. "Charlotte has this. Nothing needs you. Checked at 5.52." That is the opening movement of the arc, and it only works if it is true and timestamped and followed by nothing else asking for attention. The reflex, if it is met with evidence rather than effort, begins to soften. Not dramatically. Just enough to notice.

The middle of the arc is where the trust either builds or quietly fails. A disruption arrives, as it always does eventually. A connection slips, a gate changes, a driver is at the wrong terminal. In the current product, that moment returns the client to vigilance faster than anything else, because silence on a travel day is indistinguishable from nothing being done. The arc we are designing for turns that moment inside out. The client sees the problem named plainly, a person handling it, a time attached to the next word. Then the resolution arrives in place, written in the past tense, with no action required. That sequence, which the Funeral Speech and the Aspiration Gap sessions both returned to independently, is the emotional pivot of the whole product. The client reaches for the phone and finds that someone else was already there. They put the phone down. That is the moment the arc turns. Not from worry to relief, but from watching to trusting. The background process, which the Identity Shift exercise described with an accuracy we could not have invented, goes quiet.

What the workshops told us, consistently and from several directions, is that this arc does not end with the landing. The Aspiration Gap sessions described the desired next action not as returning to

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

the app but as reaching out to Charlotte more freely, about smaller things, without deliberating. The Identity Shift exercise described the client who has moved through the full arc as someone who says at dinner, lightly, without performance, "I have someone for that." The arc completes not in a single trip but over time, through repetition, through details remembered without announcement, through handovers nobody noticed and small requests that were welcomed in exactly the same tone as large ones. The person who began braced and vigilant becomes, slowly, someone who delegates in a sentence and knows exactly what they handed over. That is a more sophisticated form of control than checking would ever be, and it is the identity the product exists to make available.

Every design decision in this document should be held against that arc. The question is not whether a feature is useful, or efficient, or reassuring in isolation. The question is whether it moves a client from vigilance to trust, and from trust to the kind of ease that accumulates into a different way of seeing themselves. A notification that buzzes on a minor status update does not serve the arc. A timestamp beside a plain fact does. A forty-page PDF that goes stale overnight does not serve the arc. A single living record that changes once and appears everywhere does. A message signed by Charlotte that Charlotte did not write does not serve the arc, and cannot be repaired once discovered. The arc is the test. If a design choice does not contribute to taking someone from the person who holds everything to the person who has learned they are allowed to stop, it should be questioned, and probably removed. That is not a high bar. It is the only bar that matters for this product, because it is the only thing Tailwind has ever promised.

What This Means In Practice

The three layers and the emotional arc they produce are not abstract principles. Together they form a specific, concrete design brief. Every decision made during design and build, whether it concerns a line of copy, a notification trigger, a confidence label or a handover screen, should be tested against all four of them before it ships.

Layer	The Test
First Impression	Does this answer the client's question before they have to ask it, with no effort required of them?
The Journey	Does this keep the work with Tailwind and make it visible, without handing anything back to the client?
Identity Transformation	Does this leave the client feeling accompanied rather than competent, off duty rather than on top of it?
The Arc	Does this move someone from vigilance to trust, and from trust toward the ease that accumulates into a different way of seeing themselves?

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.

A product that passes all four tests at every moment is not a travel app with good design. It is the thing Tailwind has been for eleven years, held in Charlotte's memory and delivered through her voice, now available on a screen, at any hour, by whoever is covering, for every client the house will ever look after. That is what this product can become. The brief is already written. Everything that follows is a question of whether the decisions made in the room are equal to it.

© We Are Affective Ltd 2026. All rights reserved. Private and Confidential.